

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boyl 439 Alwand E. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspb.gdv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,586	08/18/2003	Gregory J. Faanes	1376.699US1	4007
21186	7590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH			FENNEMA, ROBERT E	
1600 TCF TO 121 SOUTH F	WER EIGHT STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		2183		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/643,586	FAANES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert E. Fennema	2183					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au	igust 2003.						
,—	action is non-final.						
, —							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2183

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11 are pending.

## **Drawings**

- 2. It is requested that more specific labels be applied to the boxes in Figures 1 and
- 2, to better discern what is being represented without looking into the specification

# Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

The abstract is intended to be a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent, while it appears in the instant application that it is a copy of Claim 1, and not the invention as a whole.

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 2183

5. In page 1 of the specification, in the Related Applications field, the Patent Application numbers have not been filled out. It is requested that the Applicant fills in the blanks as appropriate.

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 6 is objected to for improperly depending on Claim 3. As Claim 6 is identical to Claim 4, it is believed that it was intended to depend on Claim 5, and is assumed as such for the remainder of the office action. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beard et al. (USPN 5,430,884, herein Beard).
- 8. As per Claim 1, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit (Column 3, Lines 1-7), wherein the vector processing unit includes a vector dispatch unit (Column 3 Lines 27-40), a method of executing a vector memory instruction having a scalar operand, the method comprising:

reading the scalar operand, wherein reading includes transferring the scalar operand from the scalar processing unit to the vector dispatch unit (Column 3, Lines 38-40 and Column 12, Lines 25-36);

Art Unit: 2183

determining if the vector memory instruction is scalar committed; and if the vector memory instruction is scalar committed, executing the vector memory operation as a function of the scalar operand (Column 12, Lines 26-40 disclose the scalar operands being put into a queue from the S registers. Generally in computing systems, the result of a scalar operation is not available outside the pipeline until it is committed, and there is no evidence in the specification to say otherwise, therefore the scalar operand in the queue would not be there until it is "scalar committed", which then allows the vector instruction to initiate, or begin execution).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2-4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beard, in view of Patterson et al. (Herein Patterson).
- 11. As per Claim 2, Beard teaches: The method according to claim 1, wherein executing the vector memory operation includes translating an address associated with the vector memory operation (Column 31, Lines 3-8), but fails to teach:

and trapping on a translation fault.

While Beard does not explicitly teach trapping on a translation fault, Patterson teaches that a way to save the pipeline state safely in the event of an exception (also known as a fault) is to insert a trap in the pipeline (Page 183). A translation fault is an example of an exception, and thus would require a trap in order for the processor to regain correct operating status. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the use of a trap in order to regain control of the system, which is required in order for the computer system to continue operating properly. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized the need to trap in the event of a translation fault.

12. As per Claim 3, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, a method of decoupling vector data loads from vector instruction execution, comprising:

generating an address for a vector load (Column 12, Lines 40-44 show how an address can be generated);

issuing a vector load request to memory (Column 23, Lines 24-26);

receiving vector data from memory (Column 23, Lines 24-26, when a load is requested from memory, it has to be received);

executing a vector instruction on the vector data stored in the vector register (Column 3, Lines 27-40), but fails to teach:

storing the vector data in a load buffer;

transferring the vector data from the load buffer to a vector register.

While Beard teaches an instruction cache, which consists of multiple buffers (Column 7, Lines 52-55), he does not explicitly teach a data cache, which is commonly used in computer systems to vastly increase performance in loads and stores.

Patterson teaches that most computers have 2 levels of caches now, both instruction and data caches, with Pages 380-381 showing an example data cache. As it is well known in the art, the cache would read the data directly from memory (or from a higher cache level), and the computer system would then read the data from the cache (buffer) into the appropriate register(s). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need to add a data cache into Beards system, for the same reason there is an instruction cache, increased performance and faster memory reads. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have added a data cache (which can function as a load buffer) into Beards invention to increase performance.

13. As per Claim 4, Beard teaches: The method according to claim 3, wherein the vector processing unit includes a vector execute unit (Column 3, Lines 1-7, both the scalar and vector processor has functional units, which execute instructions) and a vector load/store unit (Patterson, Page B-5, the vector load-store unit), wherein issuing a vector load request to memory includes issuing and executing vector memory references in the vector load/store unit when the vector load store unit has received the instruction and memory operands from the scalar processing unit (Column 3, Lines 27-40). While Beard did not explicitly disclose a vector load/store unit, Patterson discloses

Art Unit: 2183

that it is an essential unit of a basic vector architecture to load and store to/from vectors.

Therefore, a vector load/store unit would necessarily have to be present in Beards invention in order for it to function.

14. As per Claim 7, Beard teaches: A computer system, comprising:

a scalar processing unit (Column 3, Lines 1-7); and

a vector processing unit (Column 3, Lines 1-7);

wherein the vector load/store unit receives an instruction and memory operands from the scalar processing unit, issues and executes a vector memory load reference as a function of the instruction and the memory operands receives from the scalar processing unit (Column 3 Lines 27-40), but fails to teach:

and stores data received as a result of the vector memory reference in a load buffer; and

wherein the vector execute unit issues the vector memory load instruction and transfers the data received as a result of the vector memory reference from the load buffer to a vector register.

While Beard teaches an instruction cache, which consists of multiple buffers

(Column 7, Lines 52-55), he does not explicitly teach a data cache, which is commonly used in computer systems to vastly increase performance in loads and stores.

Patterson teaches that most computers have 2 levels of caches now, both instruction and data caches, with Pages 380-381 showing an example data cache. As it is well known in the art, the cache would read the data directly from memory (or from a higher

Art Unit: 2183

cache level), and the computer system would then read the data from the cache (buffer) into the appropriate register(s). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need to add a data cache into Beards system, for the same reason there is an instruction cache, increased performance and faster memory reads. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have added a data cache (which can function as a load buffer) into Beards invention to increase performance.

- 15. Claims 5-6, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beard, in view of Gharachorloo et al (herein Gharachorloo).
- 16. As per Claim 5, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, a method of decoupling vector data loads from vector instruction execution, comprising:

generating a first and a second address for a vector load (Column 12, Lines 40-44 show an example of an address being generated in the scalar processing unit, and Column 3 Lines 1-3 is one of many examples showing Beards invention is capable of executing multiple instructions, and Column 12, Lines 26-28 show that a load can have multiple addresses);

issuing first and second vector load requests to memory (Column 23, Lines 24-26);

Art Unit: 2183

receiving vector data associated with the first and second addresses from memory (Column 23, Lines 24-26, when a load is requested from memory, it has to be received):

storing vector data associated with the first address in a first vector register (Column 23, Lines 24-33);

storing vector data associated with the second address in a second vector register (Column 23, Lines 24-33);

executing a vector instruction on the vector data stored in the first vector register (Column 3, Lines 27-40);

executing a vector instruction on the vector data stored in the second vector register (Column 3, Lines 27-40), but fails to teach:

renaming the second vector register.

While Beard does not explicitly teach renaming, Gharachorloo teaches of a reservation station and a reorder buffer (Page 5, Section 4.2). A reservation station is well known in the art as a way for a processor to execute instructions out of order, and Beard does teach an out-of-order machine (Column 23, Lines 20-21). A reservation station acts as a queue or buffer to hold decoded instructions before execution. It allows the instruction decoding to be decoupled from instruction execution, allowing dynamic scheduling of instructions. The reorder buffer that comes with that eliminates storage conflicts through register renaming (Page 5, Section 4.2). The reorder buffer also allows for storage of speculative results, to potentially increase the workload of the processor. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of dynamic scheduling of

Art Unit: 2183

instructions as potentially bypassing unnecessary conflicts (along with the use of a reorder buffer) and increasing performance, and to also allow speculative execution.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have used a reservation station and a reorder buffer (and thus being able to rename registers) to implement the out-of-order execution in Beards invention to increase performance.

- 17. As per Claim 6, Beard teaches: The method according to claim 5, wherein the vector processing unit includes a vector execute unit (Column 3, Lines 1-7, both the scalar and vector processor has functional units, which execute instructions) and a vector load/store unit (Patterson, Page B-5, the vector load-store unit), wherein issuing a vector load request to memory includes issuing and executing vector memory references in the vector load/store unit when the vector load store unit has received the instruction and memory operands from the scalar processing unit (Column 3, Lines 27-40). While Beard did not explicitly disclose a vector load/store unit, Patterson discloses that it is an essential unit of a basic vector architecture to load and store to/from vectors. Therefore, a vector load/store unit would necessarily have to be present in Beards invention in order for it to function.
- 18. As per Claim 8, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, a method of decoupling scalar and vector execution, comprising:

Art Unit: 2183

dispatching a vector instruction that requires scalar operands to the scalar instruction queue and to a vector instruction queue (The scalar required part of the instruction goes into the scalar queue, and the vector queue is disclosed in Column 3, Lines 34-36);

executing the vector instruction in the scalar processing unit, wherein executing the vector instruction in the scalar processing unit includes writing a scalar operand to a scalar operand queue (Column 3, Lines 38-40);

notifying the vector processing unit that the scalar operand is available in the scalar operand queue (Column 11, Lines 44-53. It is notified by a bit in the scalar register scoreboard. When the vector processing unit looks into the scoreboard, it can determine if the scalar operand is available); and

executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit, wherein executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit includes reading the scalar operand from the scalar operand queue (Column 12, Lines 26-36, the scalar operand data is transferred to the vector register unit as it begins execution), but fails to teach:

dispatching scalar instructions to a scalar instruction queue.

While Beard does not explicitly teach a queue to put scalar instructions in before execution, Gharachorloo teaches of a reservation station and a reorder buffer (Page 5, Section 4.2). A reservation station is well known in the art as a way for a processor to execute instructions out of order, and Beard does teach an out-of-order machine (Column 23, Lines 20-21). A reservation station acts as a queue or buffer to hold decoded instructions before execution. It allows the instruction decoding to be

decoupled from instruction execution, allowing dynamic scheduling of instructions. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of dynamic scheduling of instructions as potentially bypassing unnecessary conflicts (along with the use of a reorder buffer) and increasing performance, and to also allow speculative execution. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have used a reservation station and a reorder buffer to implement the out-of-order execution in Beards invention to increase performance.

19. As per Claim 9, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, a method of decoupling scalar and vector execution, comprising:

dispatching a vector instruction that requires scalar operands to the scalar instruction queue and to a vector instruction queue (The scalar required part of the instruction goes into the scalar queue, and the vector queue is disclosed in Column 3, Lines 34-36);

executing the vector instruction in the scalar processing unit, wherein executing the vector instruction in the scalar processing unit includes generating an address and writing the address to a scalar operand queue (Column 3, Lines 38-40. In addition, Column 12, Lines 40-44 show an example of an address being generated in the scalar processing unit);

notifying the vector processing unit that the address is available in the scalar operand queue (Column 11, Lines 44-53. It is notified by a bit in the scalar register

scoreboard. When the vector processing unit looks into the scoreboard, it can determine if the scalar operand is available); and

executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit, wherein executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit includes reading the address from the scalar operand queue and generating a memory request as a function of the address read from the scalar operand queue (Column 12, Lines 26-36, the scalar operand data is transferred to the vector register unit as it begins execution. In addition, Column 12, Lines 40-44 show an example of an address being generated in the scalar processing unit), but fails to teach:

dispatching scalar instructions to a scalar instruction queue.

While Beard does not explicitly teach a queue to put scalar instructions in before execution, Gharachorloo teaches of a reservation station and a reorder buffer (Page 5, Section 4.2). A reservation station is well known in the art as a way for a processor to execute instructions out of order, and Beard does teach an out-of-order machine (Column 23, Lines 20-21). A reservation station acts as a queue or buffer to hold decoded instructions before execution. It allows the instruction decoding to be decoupled from instruction execution, allowing dynamic scheduling of instructions. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of dynamic scheduling of instructions as potentially bypassing unnecessary conflicts (along with the use of a reorder buffer) and increasing performance, and to also allow speculative execution.

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have

used a reservation station and a reorder buffer to implement the out-of-order execution in Beards invention to increase performance.

- 20. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beard and Gharachorloo, further in view of Patterson.
- 21. As per Claim 10, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, a method of executing a vector instruction, comprising:

dispatching a vector instruction to the scalar instruction queue and to vector instruction queue (The scalar required part of the instruction goes into the scalar queue, and the vector queue is disclosed in Column 3, Lines 34-36);

executing the vector instruction in the scalar processing unit, wherein executing the vector instruction in the scalar processing unit includes generating an address and writing the address to a scalar operand queue (Column 3, Lines 38-40. In addition, Column 12, Lines 40-44 show an example of an address being generated in the scalar processing unit);

notifying the vector processing unit that the address is available in the scalar operand queue (Column 11, Lines 44-53. It is notified by a bit in the scalar register scoreboard. When the vector processing unit looks into the scoreboard, it can determine if the scalar operand is available); and

Art Unit: 2183

executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit (Column 12, Lines 26-36, the scalar operand data is transferred to the vector register unit as it begins execution), wherein executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit includes:

reading the address from the scalar operand queue (Column 12, Lines 33-36 show the vector register getting the operand (in this case the address) as it begins);

generating a memory request as a function of the address read from the scalar operand queue (Column 3 Lines 27-40, wherein all memory requests are a function of the address, and all addresses must necessarily come from the scalar unit, and thus the scalar operand queue for the vector to use it. Also see Column 23, Lines 24-26);

receiving vector data from memory (Column 23, Lines 24-26, when a load is requested from memory, it has to be received);

executing a vector instruction on the vector data storing in the vector register (Column 3, Lines 27-40), but fails to teach:

dispatching scalar instructions to a scalar instruction queue;

storing the vector data in a load buffer;

transferring the vector data from the load buffer to a vector register.

While Beard does not explicitly teach a queue to put scalar instructions in before execution, Gharachorloo teaches of a reservation station and a reorder buffer (Page 5, Section 4.2). A reservation station is well known in the art as a way for a processor to execute instructions out of order, and Beard does teach an out-of-order machine (Column 23, Lines 20-21). A reservation station acts as a queue or buffer to hold

decoded instructions before execution. It allows the instruction decoding to be decoupled from instruction execution, allowing dynamic scheduling of instructions. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of dynamic scheduling of instructions as potentially bypassing unnecessary conflicts (along with the use of a reorder buffer) and increasing performance, and to also allow speculative execution. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have used a reservation station and a reorder buffer to implement the out-of-order execution in Beards invention to increase performance.

Furthermore, while Beard teaches an instruction cache, which consists of multiple buffers (Column 7, Lines 52-55), he does not explicitly teach a data cache, which is commonly used in computer systems to vastly increase performance in loads and stores. Patterson teaches that most computers have 2 levels of caches now, both instruction and data caches, with Pages 380-381 showing an example data cache. As it is well known in the art, the cache would read the data directly from memory (or from a higher cache level), and the computer system would then read the data from the cache (buffer) into the appropriate register(s). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need to add a data cache into Beards system, for the same reason there is an instruction cache, increased performance and faster memory reads. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have added a data cache (which can function as a load buffer) into Beards invention to increase performance.

A - 1 I - it 2482

Art Unit: 2183

22. As per Claim 11, Beard teaches: In a computer system having a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, a method of unrolling a loop, comprising:

preparing a first and a second vector instruction (Column 3 Lines 1-3 is one of many examples showing Beards invention is capable of executing multiple instructions),

dispatching the first and second vector instructions to the scalar instruction queue and to a vector instruction queue (The scalar required part of the instruction does into the scalar queue, and the vector queue is disclosed in Column 3, Lines 34-36);

executing each vector instruction in the scalar processing unit (Column 3, Lines 38-40. In addition, Column 12, Lines 40-44 show an example of an address being generated in the scalar processing unit),

notifying the vector processing unit that the scalar operand is available in the scalar operand queue (Column 11, Lines 44-53. It is notified by a bit in the scalar register scoreboard. When the vector processing unit looks into the scoreboard, it can determine if the scalar operand is available);

executing the first and second vector instructions in the vector processing unit, wherein executing the vector instruction in the vector processing unit includes reading the scalar operands associated with each instruction from the scalar operand queue (Column 12, Lines 26-36, the scalar operand data is transferred to the vector register unit as it begins execution, and is done only when each instruction needs it), but fails to teach:

wherein each vector instruction execute an iteration through the loop and wherein each vector instruction requires calculation of a scalar loop value;

wherein executing each vector instruction in the scalar processing unit includes writing a scalar operand representing the scalar loop value calculated for each vector instruction to a scalar operand queue.

While Beard does not explicitly teach a queue to put scalar instructions in before execution, Gharachorloo teaches of a reservation station and a reorder buffer (Page 5, Section 4.2). A reservation station is well known in the art as a way for a processor to execute instructions out of order, and Beard does teach an out-of-order machine (Column 23, Lines 20-21). A reservation station acts as a queue or buffer to hold decoded instructions before execution. It allows the instruction decoding to be decoupled from instruction execution, allowing dynamic scheduling of instructions. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantage of dynamic scheduling of instructions as potentially bypassing unnecessary conflicts (along with the use of a reorder buffer) and increasing performance, and to also allow speculative execution. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have used a reservation station and a reorder buffer to implement the out-of-order execution in Beards invention to increase performance.

While Beard does not also explicitly teach a vector instruction, each of which each vector instruction executes an iteration of a loop, Patterson gives an example of how vector instructions can be used for this purpose (Pages B-7 and B-8). In this example, a MULTSV instruction is used for the first part, and an ADDV instruction for the second, although one of ordinary skill in the art could also see how this would be able to be modified to be done in one or multiple vector instructions, depending on the

Art Unit: 2183

required steps of the loop in question. It can also be seen that in this example, "a" is a scalar operand required for the vector instructions to execute. One of ordinary skill in the art would be able to see the value in using vector instructions to execute this loop, as shown by the vastly decreased code size as shown in the example on B-8. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized the advantage of using vector instructions for the purpose of executing loops in Beards invention, to decrease code size, and therefore increase performance.

#### Conclusion

- 23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as follows. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objections made. Applicant must also show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR § 1.111(c).
- 24. DeLano et al. (USPN 5,787,494) teaches how a trap is used after a translation fault.
- 25. Nagashima et al. (USPN 4,541,046) teaches a scalar processing unit and a vector processing unit, where the scalar processing unit feeds necessary scalar data to the vector processing unit.

Art Unit: 2183

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E. Fennema whose telephone number is (571) 272-2748. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E Fennema Examiner Art Unit 2183

RF

EDDIE CHAN
ISORY PATENT EXAMINES

Bliell

JPERVISORY PATERY LACTOR